

**UWF PLOT  
AND  
JFK PLOT  
ARE  
LOOK-ALIKE  
TWINS**

---

**PART TWO**

---

**BY MYRON C. FAGAN**

---

Published by

# CINEMA EDUCATIONAL GUILD, INC.

P. O. Box 46205, Cole Br., Hollywood 46, Calif.

---

*Organized to Combat Communism*

---

MYRON C. FAGAN, NATIONAL DIRECTOR

---

September, 1962 - News-Bulletin

---

KNOW the TRUTH and the TRUTH shall make you FREE.

---

The most important thing for all of us to always remember is that the salvation of our Country depends upon getting the TRUTH of the GREAT CONSPIRACY to all of the American people. When—and if—that will be accomplished our nation will again be FREE—and SAFE. Our press won't do it—you know why—so it is up to YOU and me to do it.

---

This News-Bulletin copyrighted September 1962  
Price per copy, fifty cents  
All rights reserved

---

For members of CEG the price is 25 cents per copy.

## PREFACE

---

In our preceding issue (No. 92) I cited two specific reasons for the reprinting of our 1949 "News-Bulletin" in which we exposed the "United World Federalists" (UWF) RESOLUTION plot.

1) In our "News-Bulletin" No. 91 I had frequently stressed that Kennedy's horrifying "DISARMAMENT" plot was an exact duplicate of the UWF's treasonous "RESOLUTION" which was rescinded by the California Legislature—and proclaimed to be TREASON! Following the issuance of that "News-Bulletin" (No. 91) we were deluged with demands for copies of the 1949 "News-Bulletin." Hence, that issue now being out of print, we decided to provide a *verbatim* re-print in our current issues;

2) All lawyers, when preparing documents for suits, or defense of suits, almost always base their arguments on "precedents" . . . Judges frequently base *their* Decisions on "precedents." In 1949, when we (CEG) launched the fight to force the California Legislature to review — and rescind — the UWF "RESOLUTION," Goodwin Knight, then Lt. Governor and later Governor of California, bluntly told me that we didn't have "a Chinaman's chance" because there was no "precedent" for such an action — that never before had a California Legislature rescinded, or even reviewed, a Bill or a Resolution that had been voted into passage. I promptly retorted that there was a "first-time" for everything — the "first time" being the "precedent" — and that the rescission of the UWF "Resolution" would set the "precedent" for similar action by State Legislatures and by the Congress of the United States. Well, as we know, that UWF "Resolution" WAS rescinded—and it is now a perfect "precedent" upon which any loyal member of Congress can base a demand for the rescission and outlawing of all the features of Kennedy's "Disarmament" plot . . . because Kennedy's treasonous "Disarmament" plot (*which includes the "Dept. of State Publication 7277," Public Law 87-297, and Cong. Anfuso's Bill HR 613*) is a perfect twin of the UWF plot that was officially declared subversive and treasonous . . . indeed, *except for very slight variations*, even the verbiage in the Kennedy plot is identical with that in the rescinded UWF "Resolution!"

Now I will present a third highly significant reason for reprinting the 1949 "News-Bulletins," which so lucidly reveal that old plot to surrender our Country to a so-called "One-World Government;" to wit:

In 1958 the Masterminds of the Great Conspiracy decided to

launch a scheme to repeal the "*Connally Amendment*" — and thus place the United States under the jurisdiction and control of the UN's "*World Court*." The time for that act of treason was set for 1959 — Eisenhower's last year in the White House. The pseudo "*Military Genius*" was to launch the plot, and he was to be supported by the ever-ambitious opportunist, Nixon, and the then U. S. Attorney General, Rogers. Well, we know how that plot was torpedoed (*for the time being*), so there is no need to waste space in this issue for the details. But the *delayed timing* has a significant bearing on the present "*Disarmament*" plot.

First of all, the delayed timing was to safeguard the "*Military Genius*." Coming late in his final year in the Presidency, it would be too late for impeachment proceedings — *if an alerted and outraged people were to demand it!* More important, time was needed for preperation on two fronts . . . 1) To insure the success of the plot, enough members of the Senate would have to be pledged to vote for the repeal — that was to be accomplished by "*Federal Patronage*" bribes — *or threats of NO "Federal Patronage"* . . . 2) A campaign to brainwash the people into accepting the repeal . . . *and that is where the UWF again came into the picture!*

After their traitorous "RESOLUTION" was exposed and rescinded, the UWF disappeared from the political scene. To all intents and purposes, the UWF was, *seemingly*, dissolved. But, as we know, this ENEMY never quits — the UWF simply went underground. But the Hierarchy of the outfit continued to function. By 1958 the "*Masterminds*" assumed that the people had forgotten that 1950 debacle. And so they ordered the UWF to come back into "*business*" — to launch a new PEACE campaign to popularize the repeal of the "*Connally Amendment*." And this time their slogan was to be "WORLD PEACE THROUGH WORLD LAW" . . . obviously to fit in with Eisenhower's appeal for the repeal. They went into high gear early in 1959, a few months in advance of Eisenhower's speech. They launched UWF meetings all over the country — in a drive for members and contributions . . . and to "*brief*" the members how to "*exhort*" and "*encourage*" their Senators to vote for the repeal. We (CEG) had various of our members attend (*and report*) UWF meetings in New York, Detroit, Chicago, Los Angeles and other cities. Some of our researchers even succeeded in infiltrating the inner circles of their planning Boards — and found that the UWF Brass was instructing all of their local Chairmen to reactivate all the old techniques they employed in 1949 to pressure, delude and seduce the California Legislators into passing their traitorous "RESOLUTION" — and to employ those same techniques to pressure, delude and seduce all members of Congress into sur-

rendering the sovereignty of our nation via Jack Kennedy's "DISARMAMENT" plot — *a plot which in every detail is a "Look-Alike" twin of that UWF "RESOLUTION" which was rescinded and denounced as outright TREASON!*

All the reports from our researches revealed that the Boards of Directors and Sponsors of all the UWF Chapters are loaded with Reds, Pinks, and rabid Fellow-Travellers — *exactly the same as in 1947-50 . . . in many cases the very same ones who "Operated" the UWF in those years.* As a perfect example, let me give you the names of just a few of the Sponsors of one Southern California UWF Chapter that assembled for meetings at the Miramar Hotel in Santa Monica on June 6 and 7, 1959:

Mr. & Mrs. ALAN CRANSTON; Norman Cousins; Johnny Green; Oscar Hammerstein, II; Norman Houston; Dr. Robert M. Hutchins; Gene Kelly; Harry A. Overstreet; Dr. Linus Pauling; Robert Ryan; Dore Schary; Mr. & Mrs. Will Rogers, Jr.; Lewis Mumford, plus many others of the Red, Pink and Fellow-Traveller ilk . . . *and oh, yes, I almost forgot* — another of the listed zealous Sponsors was RONALD REAGAN, the man who spouts perfervid patriotic speeches out of one side of his mouth — and supports a project to destroy the sovereignty of the United States out of the other side of the same mouth! . . . And now:

---

### FURTHER REPRINTS FROM 1949

---

"On June 20, 1949 Senator Tenney informed me via long distance phone that Resolution 36 to rescind and repeal Resolution 26 would be up for a hearing before the Judiciary Committee on Monday, June 27th. Could I again get 'Cinema Educational Guild' to support him and Senator Donnelly? I assured him that we could not only repeat our previous action of wires and letters, but that I would personally appear at that hearing in my official capacity. I immediately contacted Mrs. J. Henry Orme, President of 'The Americanism Defense League', who promptly provided me with a 'Resolution' by her organization. In addition, she secured and gave me a 'Resolution' by the Ebell Club.

"On my arrival in Sacramento on June 27th I had a ten minute talk with Senator Jack B. Tenney. The first thing he told me was that during the past week 'tons of money' had been poured into Sacramento to kill off all opposition to Resolution 26. Also, that for every wire and letter our Guild members had sent in, the UWF had poured in scores. I'll tell you how they did that: if you recall, in his 'Daily People's World' interview, Connolly

stated that they didn't bother to send delegates to Sacramento — that they achieved their objectives with wires and letters from the 'home folks.' Well, normally that would be fair enough — as it is no different from our own procedures — but what Connolly did not mention is a very unique Red technique; to wit: whenever the Reds have to apply pressure for or against a Bill in Washington, or a State Capitol, they secretly instruct every Red and fellow-traveller to pick out the names of 50 to 100 prominent citizens in their communities and send wires in THEIR NAMES — not letters, but wires — because even the Telegraph office cannot trace typewritten signatures on telegrams, should anyone of the innocent 50 to 100 citizens become aware that his or her name was used without consent. The UWF employed that very same technique . . . (later I will publish documented proof to verify that statement) . . . and deluged Sacramento with wires and 'tons of money.' As a result, I strongly doubted that we would have any luck with the Judiciary Committee— but I never give up without a fight!

"The Hearing was scheduled for four o'clock. That gave me time to interview several members of the Assembly and the Senate. Here is the stock answer I got from practically all of them: 'I voted for Resolution 26 without knowing what it was all about'.

"A fine admission by men who are entrusted with the safety of our nation — if those statements can be accepted as the truth. But let me give you an even more naive — and reprehensible — reply by Assemblyman Charles Conrad: 'I knew that Resolution was rotten', he said: 'it was hot, so I was one of the 12 who refused to vote for or against it'.

"When I asked him why he hadn't voted for Resolution 36 to rescind the treason-packed 26 he mumbled an evasive reply. In short, he theorized . . . and I say that on excellent authority . . . that he could not afford to become unpopular with 'the boys' in Sacramento — especially Artie Samish! The opinions of the people who elected him to guard their interests apparently doesn't matter to Mr. Conrad — as long as he can remain popular with those 'boys' in Sacramento who had decided to betray America! Well — maybe his constituents will think otherwise on next election day ! ! !

"At a quarter of four I entered the Committee Room in which the hearing was to be heard. It was already pack-jammed to the doors. Present for our side were three women from Los Angeles and two men — members of our Guild in San Francisco and Oakland. In addition, there was a Committee of three from the 'Veterans of Foreign Wars,' (VFW) and the Adjutant of the 'American Legion' in the Sacramento area — a total of ten fighting for America. The hundred-odd others, mostly women, were so-called Federalists . . . but if I had entered that room blind-folded I would have known it was full of Reds and Fellow-Travellers — I don't have to see Reds — I smell 'em! I can smell 'em as quickly as my dog smells a rat.

"The Judiciary Committee was composed of Senators Busch, Coombs,

Desmond, Dorsey, Keating, O'Gara, Regan, Salsman, Tenney and Ward. Ward was Chairman and Keating Vice Chairman.

"The Chairman announced that the hearing was to be given two hours — one hour to those who love America, one hour to the UWF.

"Senator Donnelly called upon the VFW Committee to present their Resolution. The VFW Spokesman delivered a very forthright and direct statement to the effect that his organization had fought through two frightful wars to preserve America and they would not stand idly by while that same America was being handed over to the Communists.

"Senator O'Gara promptly attacked that statement, virtually accusing the VFW of being War mongers if they opposed a Federal World Government. He put that Spokesman on a red-hot grill for more than a half-hour with biting questions and challenges. Regan and a couple of the other Senators co-operated with O'Gara in somewhat milder form. O'Gara went thru the same performance with the other members of the VFW Committee. When the American Legion Adjutant came forward to make his statement O'Gara promptly challenged his right to speak because he had no official Resolution by the Legion authorizing him to represent the organization. The Adjutant thereupon explained that when and if the American Legion had had no time or sufficient opportunity to meet and issue a formal Resolution . . . as had been the case in this instance . . . the Adjutant had the authority to represent the organization. O'Gara rejected that statement. Regan supported him. In short, throughout, O'Gara challenged, cross-examined and heckled those four men with what was obviously an intent to completely confuse the real issue of the Hearing. O'Gara's very pronounced stand was that to avert a Third World War America would have to surrender its sovereignty . . . its Army . . . its Navy . . . AND OUR ATOM BOMB! And, bear in mind, O'Gara could not claim to be unaware as to the identity of the founders and organizers of this 'give-away America' outfit. If that wasn't a Red . . . or, at least, a Fellow-Traveller . . . talking, then Senator O'Gara is the most assinine and stupid Legislator I have ever listened to — and I've listened to many stupid politicians.

"That hearing was cooked before it was served . . . it was a 'hearing' to forever KILL all opposition to Resolution 26, not to weigh it. Artie Samish and the UWF had put over a perfect job of 'Lobbying.' I wasn't greatly amazed by the fact that Chairman Ward and Vice Chairman Keating sat supinely through all of O'Gara's challenging and heckling . . . I expected that.

"O'Gara's filibustering . . . and it was nothing less than that . . . with the Three VFW representatives and the American Legion Adjutant consumed one hour and fifty minutes. At ten minutes to six Chairman Ward pointed out that the two hours allotted had been consumed and the Hearing was over. Donnelly, however, pointed out that most of the two hours had

been 'filibustered,' and insisted that I be permitted to present my statement and resolution. Ward magnanimously agreed to give me the unexpired ten minutes . . . he obviously felt that it would not be necessary for the Federalists to bolster their case with any statements.

"The moment I stepped forward Senator O'Gara proceeded to 'take me in hand' as he did the others, but I promptly put a quietus to that. I pointed out that I came to Sacramento representing three respected groups of American citizens . . . that I had a statement to make — and that I would not be filibustered out of making it.

"Thereupon I presented my three Resolutions condemning World Federalism as a Moscow inspired scheme to take our Country away from us exactly as all of Eastern Europe was gobbled up by Moscow. I concluded with a statement that 'Cinema Educational Guild' publishes a News-Bulletin monthly . . . that our next issue would be devoted to a full and complete report of the Sacramento 'putsch' . . . together with the 'Resolution' submitted to the Judiciary Committee on the occasion of its hearing; which read as follows:

" 'RESOLUTION issued by Myron C. Fagan, National Director of CINEMA EDUCATIONAL GUILD, on behalf of all the Guild members throughout the State of California.

" 'Resolution 26, which we are asking to be rescinded, memorializes our Congress and our Nation in general to be swallowed up in a world government which, if followed through to the ultimate, would accomplish the following:

- " '1. The establishment of a Constitution which would rescind the Constitution of the United States',
- " '2. The adoption of a world Flag that would fly above the Stars and Stripes',
- " '3. The surrender of our national sovereignty to the point where the U. S. A. would cease to be a nation and become merely a state',
- " '4. The subordination of our laws to international laws',
- " '5. It would grant authority to the World Government to arrest private citizens; to try them in international courts away from their homes, or even their nation',
- " '6. It would establish international prisons where our private citizens could be imprisoned outside the borders of the U. S. A.',
- " '7. It would subordinate our nation to a world government whose parliament would be made up overwhelmingly of representatives from nations that are Communist, or Communist controlled',



" '8. It would give Russia and her satellites parliamentary and legal authority over American citizens.

" 'The plan, when reduced to its real essence is treason, because it proposes to destroy our national identity and our existence as a nation by handing over to an international cabal the inalienable rights granted to us under our Constitution. It is as vicious as Communism. It appeases Communism and it is more subtle in that it exploits the naive, the visionary intellectual and certain so-called prominent people.

" 'I prophesy that every member of this Legislature who will vote to uphold this treasonable trick to subordinate our flag, our Constitution and our nation will commit political suicide. It is to be assumed that some who voted for the resolution in the beginning did so without real consideration — but from now on they have no excuse!

" 'I may be old-fashioned, but anyone who votes to put any flag, or any anthem or any law above our flag, our Star Spangled Banner, or our Constitution, betrays our country!'

"The room burst into pandemonium.

" 'Are you threatening that we won't be re-elected?!?' demanded Senator Ward.

" 'I never make threats,' I replied; 'I merely make prophecies — which I endeavor to make come true.'

"There was no further talk about bringing the hearing to a close at six o'clock. Instead, it was adjourned until seven o'clock.

"Promptly at seven, the hearing was resumed. ALAN CRANSTON, Northern California Chairman of UWF, took the floor . . . in the words of Shakespeare, 'a lean and hungry looking Cassius.' He immediately launched into a long-winded dissertation on the humane objectives of the UWF. 'It was the only thing that would insure world PEACE.' . . . throughout his talk he sprinkled the word 'peace' . . . he oozed 'peace' . . . he leaked 'peace.' He glowingly lauded the founders and the organizers of this great movement for peace. 'Yes,' he admitted, 'we would have to give up our sovereignty; we would have to give up our Army and our Navy; we would have to surrender our Atom Bomb.' But, he argued, isn't it worth while to give up a little of our sovereignty, a little of our Freedom and our OUTMODED Constitution, in order to get the protection of a Federated World Government which would insure peace? 'Think of all our boys who would be alive today,' he finally cried out in a tear-choked voice, 'if there had been a Federated World Government in 1939.'

"At any moment I expected to hear him say that in order to preserve peace the UWF would place a bottle of milk on the table of every Hottentot — if Hottentots have tables.

"At this point, don't forget what Cord Meyer said in his book as to what they would do with the Atom Bomb once they got possession of it.

"Now, throughout this entire harangue nobody heckled or interrupted Mister Alan crying-for-peace Cranston. Once or twice, O'Gara and Regan interjected questions — to bolster Cranston's arguments.

"Finally, when Cranston reached the end of his elegy, Senator Tenney took him in hand. But first I must emphasize that throughout all the heckling of the VFW representatives, the American Legionnaire and myself by O'Gara, Regan and the others, Tenney never once interfered. But the moment he began to question Cranston they — O'Gara in particular — stiffened into alert watchfulness.

"Tenney's questions primarily sought to bring out the hypocrisy, the phyness and the intended treason in Resolution 26. Cranston parried, or thought he parried, all those questions with a smug smile on his face — and all the little Red Audreys in the audience gleefully laughed and laughed and laughed . . . and applauded and applauded and applauded, without reproach from the Chair — although our three women were threatened with ejection every time they opened their mouths.

"But finally Tenney's questions began to get somewhat hot . . . Cranston began to squirm — whereupon O'Gara broke in heatedly that they weren't there to listen to a lecture by Senator Tenney. That didn't stop Tenney. He opened a sheaf of papers and proceeded to question Cranston about the backgrounds of the founders and organizers of the UWF. He named Norman Corwin, Van Wyck Brooks, Mary Ware Dennett, Rex Stout, Elmer Rice, the two Van Dorens, Norman Cousins, Walter Wanger and others. 'Were they among your founders?' asked Tenney. Cranston hesitated . . . he would very much have preferred to say 'No,' but he finally admitted they were.

" 'Well,' said Tenney, 'do you know that Norman Corwin helped to found and organize fifteen Red hot Red Front organizations?'

" 'Well' . . . Crannie stuttered and stammered — 'No . . . that is, yes . . . that is . . . I didn't know it was that many.'

"Tenney continued his questions: did Mr. Cranston know that Upton Sinclair was in thirty-five Red Fronts . . . Elmer Rice in 27 . . . Rex Stout in a dozen . . . Thomas Mann in 31, etc., etc.

"Cranston's replies became more and more stuttery and stammery. He began to throw appealing looks to O'Gara, Regan and others. And they came to his rescue! Their objections to Tenney's questions and Tenney's retorts gave Cranston time to pull himself together — and he came up with this brilliant all-embracing answer: It is true that all those Reds Tenney named had been among the founders and organizers of UWF but he believes that some of them are no longer in the organization.

"But, to refute that statement, I have a copy of their May 1949 News-Bulletin which lists all their officers and Board Members. Among them are — just to mention a few — James B. Carey, Norman Cousins, Clifton Fadiman, Walter Wanger, Carl Van Doren, Sholem Asch, William Rose Benet, Charles G. Bolte, Albert Einstein, Raymond Swing, Robert St. John, Harlow Shapley, Senator Glenn H. Taylor, Mark Van Doren — all notoriously flaming Reds and Fellow-Travellers! . . . and CHET HUNTLEY, director of Radio Dep't!

"That Hearing was finally concluded at ten o'Clock that night. As you know — they again voted 7 to 2 in favor of Resolution 26. But I'm here to tell you that those seven men did not sleep easily that night — or any night since. Those seven did not vote for Resolution 26 because they wanted to, but because they had committed themselves too far to retreat . . . Artie Samish had assured Editor Paul Smith — and the UWF — that their Resolution 26 would be passed — and he was there in Sacramento to see that it stayed passed ! ! !

"This brings the first chapter of our saga of the Reds in Sacramento to a conclusion. But it does not tell what we of the 'Cinema Educational Guild' . . . and all other true Americans who join us . . . are doing to wipe the 'California Plan' blot off our State's escutcheon . . . it does not tell how the Federalists, in panic, and in fear that they may not now be able to hood-wink enough State Legislatures to accomplish their purpose, are feverishly trying to do it in one fell swoop by seducing our Congress in Washington . . . where they have already lined up EIGHTY-FOUR tainted, or sound-asleep, Members of the Lower House, Richard Nixon among them! . . . That will be told in our September News-Bulletin.

---

"NOTE:—EXACTLY FIVE DAYS AFTER THIS ADDRESS WAS MADE, COLLIER'S (AUGUST 13) PUBLISHED THE FIRST OF A TWO-PART STORY WHICH COMPLETELY VERIFIES AND AUTHENTICATES OUR EXPOSITION OF THE UWF TREASON PLAN. Ed."

All of the "News-Bulletins" we published during the following months in 1949 and the first four months in 1950 were, in the main, devoted to the project of forcing the California Legislature to review — *and finally rescind* — that UWF "RESOLUTION." Obviously, it is impossible to reprint in full all of those "News-Bulletins" in this issue. But I will include (*verbatim*) excerpts of the salient features — and intersperse with clarifications of the techniques they employed to offset our "campaign" . . . The following is from our September (1949) "News-Bulletin."

## 'METHINKS THOU PROTESTS TOO MUCH'

---

"In an interview published by the Palo Alto Times on August 25, Alan Cranston, whose reward for the seduction of the California State Legislature was the Presidency of UWF, stated:

"'It is preposterous to suggest that UWF is a Communist conspiracy.' He explained that this has been an insidious rumor which no one has dared to state flatly in public . . . and then he added: 'It is a known fact that we are looking for a libel suit to decide the issue once and for all . . . our critics resort to innuendo or clothe themselves in immunity of some sort instead of making direct charges.'

"I have heard similar such brave statements before: at five o'clock on April 12, 1948, just three hours before the curtain went up on the opening night of 'Thieves' Paradise,' when I delivered my curtain speech in which I named the Reds in Hollywood, I was served with a written notice by Myrna Loy's attorney, one Martin Gang, sternly warning me that if I so much as mentioned Miss Loy's name he would immediately sue me for criminal libel. I read that threat to the audience — and then mentioned Miss Loy's name . . . I was not sued for criminal libel, or any other sort of libel — because TRUTH, no matter how horrifying, is not libel.

"On August 1, at the meeting of the CINEMA EDUCATIONAL GUILD, we revealed the complete background of the UWF. We established beyond all doubt that it was founded, organized, sponsored, and directed by a gang of notorious flaming Reds. We named more than forty of them . . . We named more than thirty flaming Red Front organizations with highly patriotic sounding names that those same Reds had previously organized — all authenticated as RED by the U. S. Attorney General, by the House Committee on Un-American Activities and by the California State Committee.

"We established that the methods of operation and technique of the UWF are precisely the same as that employed by all Red Front organizations. We cited one technique in particular: to wit:

"Whenever the Reds have to apply pressure for or against a Bill in Washington, or a State Capitol, they secretly instruct every Red and fellow-traveller to pick the names of 50 to 100 citizens in their communities and send wires in their names — not letters, wires — because even the Telegraph office cannot trace type-written signatures — should anyone of the innocent 50 to 100 citizens become aware that his or her name was used without consent. The UWF employed that very same technique . . . ! ! !

"In other words . . . unmistakably plain words . . . the UWF employed forgery to sway, coerce and intimidate Legislators to sponsor and endorse their treason plans!

"All of these facts were published in our July-August News-Bulletin. We claimed no immunities of any sort — and if Mister Cranston considers the statements we made about his UWF mere inuendos then no doubt he would consider a hefty boot in his pants merely a love pat.

---

## "FEDERALISTS BOAST FORGERY!

---

"Earlier in this issue we stated that we would present documentary evidence that the UWF employs a slick form of deceit and FORGERY to achieve their nefarious objectives. Here it is: On July 1, 1949, they issued the following Special Bulletin.

" 'WORLD GOVERNMENT RESOLUTION SAVED — AFTER SHARP FIGHT IN SENATE.

"CONGRATULATIONS. SEVEN-TWO FAVORABLE VOTE CONCLUSIVE FOR SESSION. MANY THANKS — HARRY BARBER

" 'This message from the active Executive Director of the Northern Division, to John Ken Harris, Political Director of the Southern Division, wound up one of the fastest breaking political developments faced by UWF members in California.

" 'The historic 'California Plan' was under attack in the State which both had originated and first secured passage of a resolution for amendment of the U. S. Constitution to expedite and insure United States participation in a world government. The resolution to rescind the action taken by the California Legislature was introduced by Senator Hugh Donnelly of Turlock.

" 'The first alert to chapters was sent out by Harris, June 22, following a phone call to the office by UWF member Harold Clark, calling attention to a news story by the Los Angeles Times Staff representative in Sacramento. Harris immediately phoned to Alan Cranston, in San Francisco, who had no prior intelligence as to what was afoot. By nightfall of the 22nd, the Division's fast moving political chairmen were swinging into action and UWF members were sending letters and wires to Sacramento.

" 'The Division Office at San Francisco advised the next morning that Senator Donnelly had tried, prematurely, to bring his bill out onto the floor and was defeated. The effort, however, touched off one of the hottest floor fights in the history of the Senate.

" 'Harris had no sooner brought the campaign by Division political chairmen to a halt when a phone call from San Francisco brought word that another fight was being planned, that the opposition was reorganized, and that a hearing was being scheduled before the Senate Judiciary Committee for Monday afternoon, June 27.

" 'With only 36 hours in which to work and with members scattering for the week-end, another campaign was set into motion. Special attention was devoted to areas in which senators, formerly favorable, might be inclined to waver in their support. PALM SPRINGS' MRS. JORDAN GOT OUT 49 TELEGRAMS FROM MEMBERS OF HER CHAPTER! At the Square Dance held by the Brentwood-Palisades and Santa Monica chapters Saturday evening a special table was set up and telegraph blanks made available to members.

" 'At 10:00 o'clock Monday morning a call was received from Harry Barber requesting documented facts regarding the 'American Defense League' and other extreme right-wing groups seeking repeal of the world government resolution. The information was swiftly collected by Harris and relayed to San Francisco by telephone two hours later. The UWF delegation, headed by Alan Cranston, was able to appear in Sacramento in possession of relevant background information on the type of opposition seeking repeal of the 'California Plan.'

" 'That the action against this milestone in the federalist movement was decisively defeated can be attributed to UWF's fast clicking political machinery which mobilized members for political activity. It is solid evidence of the coordination that has been established between the Political Director and Division Political Chairmen.

" 'The attack on the 'California Plan' serves to confirm National's analysis of the political situation now being faced by UWF, namely, that as the organization grows more effective, it will encounter more vehement opposition.' "

### **"THEIR BOAST BETRAYS THEM"**

"When the Federalists issued their gloating boast that their Palm Springs' Chapter leader, Mrs. Jordan, 'got out 49 telegrams from members of her chapter' they literally confessed that they were using the Reds' technique of lying, cheating, deceiving and FORGING!

"The first thing that aroused our suspicions when we arrived in Sacramento for that hearing before the Judiciary Committee was the fact that the vast majority of the telegrams urging the Legislators to stand firm in favor of the 'UWF's RESOLUTION' failed to give the addresses of the senders. We became very curious. Within two weeks we had information from several communities that in each case batches of those wires were filed in the telegraph office by one individual and that almost all of them were typewritten . . . INCLUDING THE SIGNATURES!

"Several days after we made our original charges on the evening of August 1, a member of this Guild telephoned us that a friend of hers had received the following letter from Congressman John Kee, Chairman of the House Committee on Foreign Affairs:

July 26, 1949

"Mr. and Mrs. Bernard Rand:

'Palm Springs, California

'My dear Mr. and Mrs. Rand:

" 'This is to acknowledge and thank you for your recent telegram in support of the pending resolutions designed to strengthen the United Nations into a world federation.

" 'You may be assured that your comments and recommendations will receive most careful consideration. It is a pleasure to have the benefit of your views on the vital question.

Sincerely yours

(signed)

John Kee, Chairman' "

"Almost simultaneously, the Rands received similar acknowledgements from the State Senator and Assemblyman representing the Palm Springs area.

"Both Mr. and Mrs. Rand indignantly denied having sent Congressman Kee and/or the State Senator and Assemblyman any wires — and denounced them as outright forgeries.

"Now, the Rands are not residents of Palm Springs, but they frequently visit there, and it is our good fortune that the Post Office in Palm Springs had their forwarding address. The moment we saw that this forged telegram had emanated from Palm Springs, we recalled the UWF's boast of their indefatigable Mrs. Jordan's 49 telegrams. We asked Mrs. Rand if she had ever met this Mrs. Jordan. Yes, she had, replied Mrs. Rand — then gasped . . . she too, recalled that boast of 49 telegrams. Nevertheless, she couldn't believe that Mrs. Jordan would dare to forge her or her husband's name. We urged her to write Mrs. Jordan and make certain — a discussion on the telephone would not have been conclusive evidence. Following is the answer she received . . . an answer which unequivocally convicts Mrs. Jordan, all the UWF local chairmen and all the 'higher-ups' in UWF, of plain and not-so-fancy FORGERY!

---

"Mrs. Emily Nolan Jordan

"928 Ave. Palmas, Palm Springs, Calif.

12 August 1949

" 'Dear Mrs. Rand:

" 'Your letter reached me yesterday and I must say that I am somewhat surprised and not a little disappointed. But first let me say that I am very sorry that at the insistence of our chapter's chairman I sent in the names of all our members those telegrams. This, of course included your names! . . . ' "

## LETTER CONTAINED FULL CONFESSION

---

Mrs. Jordan followed the above paragraph with five closely-typed pages of explanations, qualifications and alibis — a rambling letter, the gist of which was that she had merely carried out orders from 'the higher-ups' of the UWF. She expressed no regrets. And she denied that there was anything wrong about her 'signing' the names of her UWF Chapter 'members' to those wires — the State Chairman of UWF had told her so.

But here is where she compounded the forgery with outright fraud! The Rands had never been members of the UWF — in Palm Springs, or elsewhere. Moreover, when we checked with a number of other Palm Springs residents whose signatures were inscribed on similar wires, they indignantly denied membership in the organization.

Thereupon, we called on Mrs. Jordan and informed her that unless she provided us with a complete confession she would be hauled into court on charges of deliberate and witting forgery. She complied. In her confession, she stated that her 'immediate superiors' — said 'immediate superiors' being one Ralph Lindstrom and ALAN CRANSTON — had instructed her to 'pick' out of the telephone directory fifty names of Palm Springs most prominent residents and TYPEWRITE their 'signatures' on the wires . . . she added that those instructions were issued to ALL of the local UWF Chairmen in California.

That confession was prima facie evidence of the trickery, deceit and fraud the UWF employed to delude, coerce and intimidate Legislators to endorse and sponsor their plans of treason with a false show of strength . . . that confession stripped that gang of would-be America-wreckers of all pretense of integrity and of all defense. That confession, more than any other single feature, forced the rescission of that UWF RESOLUTION on April 3, 1950! . . . and now we will go back to the September 1949 "News-Bulletin."

---

## "FIGURES DON'T LIE . . . BUT LIARS WRITE FIGURES!"

---

"So as not to leave even an infinitesimal doubt in anybody's mind about the extent of the deceit and treachery and the downright treason of this UWF gang we will cite a few more authenticated facts.

"In their literature they CLAIM a membership of seventy thousand — at best hardly an important number as compared to ONE HUNDRED AND FIFTY MILLION Americans. BUT . . . highly responsible Agencies have made exhaustive investigations of that claim and, in documented reports, state that their actual membership falls well below EIGHTEEN THOUSAND! And we will gamble a pretty penny that if all 18,000 were asked that famous



\$64 question about being members of the Communist Party and/or Red Fronts, quite a few of them would refuse to answer 'for fear that it would tend to 'degrade and incriminate' them.

"Claim No. 2) They admit to having spent \$500,000 for propoganda; in truth it probably runs three or four times that much. It is a known fact that at least a quarter of a million was poured into Sacramento alone.

---

## "WHERE DO THEY GET THAT KIND OF MONEY?"

---

"I'll tell you: on the authority of a man in Hollywood . . . who is positively 'in the know' . . . that Sacramento slush fund was provided by the Reds in Hollywood! As a matter of fact, that isn't exactly a dark, deep secret on the Hollywood Lots! But the most amazing and incredible feature is that this puny gang of hard-core Reds, fellow-travellers, dupes and naive visionaries really believe that they will succeed in destroying our Constitution, our Declaration of Independence — and OUR FREEDOM! Eighteen thousand with sheer brazen traitorous audacity feverishly working to give away the greatest nation on the face of the earth and force 150 million free-born Americans into Mongol slavery !!! Who do they think they are — Franklin D. Roosevelt?"

"Now, it would be suicidal folly to underestimate the menace of this Red-organized, Red-backed gang of would-be America-destroyers! Employing precisely the same methods the Reds (AND THE UN) have always found effective . . . until exposed . . . this UWF gang seized upon that soporific word PEACE to bewitch, bemuse, and hypnotize many well-meaning, but foolishly visionary, peace-hungry dreamers. They have sugarcoated their poison pills with soothing and high-sounding phrases and slogans.

"That is their bait for those they call 'the simple fish.' We have heard them use that expression. For the hardheaded Legislator and politician they have a different type of bait: wires from supposed constituents . . . many of them forged as you already know . . . which are translated into VOTES. With that kind of bait they manage to get 'courtesy' replies from prominent and important people — such as Senator Taft for one — which they promptly rush into screaming type as enthusiastic endorsements by astute politicians of their plan as 'the only surefire way to insure world peace.' But when those letter writers are told what that 'plan' really means to do to America — and are asked why they wrote those letters they invariably . . . and bewilderedly . . . reply: 'I didn't know what it was all about — they made it sound so good.' A ridiculous reason, isn't it? Coming from supposedly hardheaded politicians. The real answer is that flood of telegrams from supposed voters.

## "A BARGAIN WITH MEPHISTOPHELES"

---

"Now we come to the most gullible victim of the Federalists: The Pastor. I say this with regret and a deep feeling of sadness . . . he who should be our Rock of Ages is the source of our greatest danger!

"Right from the outset, the Man of the Cloth was the UWF's chief target. That word PEACE was expected to work miracles with him . . . AND IT DID! There are thousands of Pastors in every city, town, village and hamlet expounding this Moscow-spawned evil ritual of World Federalism as zealously as they preach the Word of God! Intrinsicly honest and sincere, but very naive . . . a naivete that is frighteningly dangerous in this case . . . they have been completely taken in by the false promises of peace; they urgently exhort their congregations to join in a treason plot and betrayal that has no precedent in the known history of the world. Just so did Faust unwittingly betray the immortal soul of Margaret to Mephistopheles!

"That is what the UWF . . . and the 'American Association for the United Nations' — and 'Federal Union, Inc.' — and 'Atlantic Union' — and all those other 'One Worlders,' all feverishly working together, have in store for us . . . **THEY HOPE ! ! !**

---

## " 'HAUL DOWN THE AMERICAN FLAG!'

---

"If all these facts, on top of Mrs. Jordan's confession of forgery, are not enough to completely prove the color of the UWF I have still more damning evidence: One of their most impassioned exhorters for a 'One World Government' is one Professor Milton Mayer of the Chicago University. In April 1949 this man addressed a meeting at Palm Springs under the sponsorship of UWF. His addresses are always in one vein and in one key. I will quote his favorite exhortation: 'We must haul down the American flag — and if I wanted to be vulgar and shocking, I would go even further and say haul it down, stamp on it, spit on it!' His audience of 'One Worlders' cheered and howled wild applause! This is documented in the Congressional Record. Benedict Arnold never said as much. This creature, Milton Mayer, should be tried, convicted and hanged as a traitor to the United States! Any Organization that harbors . . . and sponsors . . . and applauds this type of man is just as guilty of **HIGH TREASON** and should be **STAMPED OUT OF EXISTENCE ! ! !**

"And with the help of Almighty God we **WILL** stamp them out of existence! Our first step will be a Resolution to the House Committee on Ameri-

can Activities to haul these haters of the American Flag into Washington for a thorough investigation!"

---

NOTE: Here, once again, I wish to stress that Kennedy's "DISARMAMENT" plot is, virtually word-for-word, the very same UWF TREASON plot we torpedoed in 1950! . . . . That alone calls for his IMPEACHMENT — and the punishment to fit the crime of TREASON! MCF

---

### THEY NEVER STOP TRYING

---

In our August "Special Bulletin," and in various of our past "News-Bulletins," I frequently stressed that the "Masterminds" of the "GREAT CONSPIRACY" have for several years realized that the UN is on its way OUT, and therefore they have frantically been trying to provide "TREATY" status for various of the Special UN Agencies through which they could continue to scheme and connive for "One-World Government." One such Agency is "UNESCO" . . . another is the "WORLD COURT" . . . still another is "GENOCIDE." Hitherto, every attempt, was torpedoed by an angry outcry from an alerted section of the people. But, as I always warned, the "Masterminds" never quit. The following "Special" from the "Hearst Headline Service" to its subscribing newspapers, reveals the latest effort to provide "Treaty" status for the frighteningly dangerous "GENOCIDE" plot:

---

"Hearst Headline Service Special, by Barbara Kober.

"Washington, Sept. 19—Sen. Proxmire (D. Wis.) today called the 13-year Senate delay on ratification of the UN Genocide convention 'shocking.'

"At the same time Proxmire said he intended to talk to the leadership of the Senate in an effort to get action on the convention which was drafted and approved in the world body in 1948. Proxmire began his drive to bring the resolution out of the Senate by requesting a statement of policy from the State Department. Their answer, Proxmire declared, was 'wholly unsatisfactory' and explains the reason the Senate has not acted on the Genocide Convention.


" 'The administration has not acted with sufficient promptness and enthusiasm on this enormously important matter;' the Senator asserted. The lack of enthusiasm from the administration has bred a lack of enthusiasm from the Senate, Proxmire said. The Senate Foreign Affairs Committee, where the resolution has been languishing, would be reluctant to report the matter without sufficient push from the Administration.

"Through the years, the main reason given for the inaction has been that the Committee feels the measure would be defeated on the Senate floor. Mainly at issue is the racial situation in this country. Many observers feel foreign nations could point accusing fingers at racial discrimination in the South as a violation of the Convention. The Convention, however, defines Genocide as outright extermination of a whole ethnic group such as that perpetrated by the Nazis against the Jews.

"The Convention on the prevention and punishment of the crime of Genocide was drafted by 'the UN Economic and Social Council' and approved by the General Assembly in 1948. Its purpose was to make mass murder of ethnic groups an international crime.

"To make it effective with regard to the U. S. it would have to be approved by a two-thirds vote of the Senate, after passage in the Committee, and the signature of the President.

"So far 65 Nations, or approximately 97 per cent of the members of the UN, have ratified the Convention."



That last statement is an outright falsehood, or, at least, a distortion of fact, i.e.: 65 would be approximately 60 per cent of the UN membership, not 97 per cent.

Now, to realize just HOW dangerous a "GENOCIDE" Treaty would be, read our GENOCIDE TRACT — then let your Representative and Senator know how YOU feel about it . . . and get all your friends and neighbors to do likewise !!!



### **MORE BRAINWASHING!**



As we know, the story of John F. Kennedy's marriage with Durie Malcolm has been widely circulated for almost two years. In the early months of this year various publications, in addition to our "News-Bulletin," published verbatim reprints of the "Blauvelt Family Genealogy" item which revealed the marriage. In addition, various organizations and individuals distributed a vast number of

photostatic copies of the item. Then, on September 2 of this year (1962) it finally reached a national audience via the Sunday supplement "PARADE" distributed in approximately 70 newspapers throughout the nation. That, allegedly, was in response to inquiries about the authenticity of the "Genealogy" item . . . The statement follows:

"The (Blauvelt Family) genealogical item in question has three errors: 1) Miss Malcolm's first two marriages are listed backwards; 2) Despite the fact that the book was published in 1956, it makes no mention of the fact that Miss Malcolm has been married since 1947 to Mr. Thomas Shevlin of Palm Beach, Fla., and 3) Miss Malcolm was never married to John F. Kennedy."

Unquestionably, this item was published at the behest of the White House. That is made obvious by the fact that the verbiage is exactly the same as a statement issued by Pete Salinger earlier in the year — the same, except for the . . . 3) *Miss Malcolm was never married to John F. Kennedy.*" Salinger did NOT include that direct denial in his statement. And there is far greater significance in that Salinger statement than appears on the surface. That significance is heightened by his responses to inquiries directed to Kennedy — it is *always* the same, i.e.: "Mr. Kennedy was married only once — to his present wife, Jacqueline" . . . I will clarify the significance a little later.

Now, undoubtedly, the White House HOPED that the more or less cryptic "PARADE" statement would put a quietus to the so-called "rumor." But it had a reverse effect. For every person who had known about that genealogy item, there were ten, twenty, perhaps a hundred others, who had been utterly unaware of it. That "PARADE" item startled, mystified, bewildered all those previously unknowing ones. As a result, thousands of letters, demanding an explanation, began to pour into the White House. Salinger's form reply was not satisfactory — *and he was so informed in no uncertain words . . .* and the letters continued to pour in in an ever-increasing volume. Finally, in sheer desperation, they decided upon a "crash" program which (*they hoped*) would utterly kill the story and forever silence all "traducers."

Throughout the two years that the story was gaining momentum it was utterly ignored by the Press, Radio and TV — *on orders from Washington.* But, on September 24, the Washington Post front-paged a long and detailed (*fifteen hundred word*) denial of the marriage. It was published simultaneously by the N. Y. Herald-Tribune, the New York Times, St. Louis Post-Dispatch, the Los Angeles Times, the Hearst newspapers — in short, virtually every newspaper in the United States, plus the Washington Post-owned "NEWSWEEK."

All of them credited the Washington Post with authorship.

Actually, that entire denial could easily have been encompassed within two hundred well-chosen words. But that would not have served their "crash" program purpose. They had to discredit — *and shame and intimidate* — everybody who even remotely participated in spreading the story. The surest way to accomplish that was by employing the "guilt-by-association" smear technique perfected many years ago by the "Anti-Defamation League" and all the other groups of that ilk. Thus, many highly respected individuals, civic groups and business firms were linked with the *so-called* racist and hate-mongering groups and individuals who *supposedly* had distributed the genealogical item. The verbiage employed to describe the *so-called* "racists" and "hate-mongers" was vicious to an extreme.

The article then went into the task of completely discrediting the "Genealogy" itself. First of all, employing a very similar "smear-by-innuendo" technique, the article describes Louis Blauvelt, compiler of the "Genealogy," as an irresponsible, bumbling, senile old man, who was 82 years old when he died — one year before the book came into print. Then the article established that all the records on which the book is based are now in the custody of Blauvelt's daughter, Mrs. William K. Smith. Then the article stated: "One Blauvelt in-law (intimating that the in-law was Mrs. Smith's husband) described the entry to NEWSWEEK as 'just one colossal mistake.'" He then (purportedly) stated . . . "it was likely that the old man formed the idea in his head . . . because the family hadn't had anyone that famous for a long time."

THAT STATEMENT CLEARLY GIVES THE LIE TO THE ENTIRE ARTICLE . . . AND TO THE DENIAL, to wit:

First of all, the same source from which NEWSWEEK (purportedly) derived ". . . the family hadn't had anyone that famous for a long time," revealed that the Durie Malcolm item had been compiled in 1943, at which time Jack Kennedy was still just an inconsequential wild-Irish playboy, and therefore was identified merely as "a son of Joseph P. Kennedy, former Ambassador to Great Britain."

---

That distinctly confirms that the item was compiled (*as reported*) in 1943. Had it been compiled after 1947, it would have referred to Jack as Congressman — or Senator. By the same token, that explains why the item did not mention Durie's (1947) marriage to Tom Shevlin.

All of those circumstances most assuredly belie the "in-law's" PURPORTED charge that the "old man" had latched onto Jack Kennedy because "the family hadn't had anyone that famous for a long time" — because until 1947 Kennedy was just a brawling wild-Irish NOTHING. Now the prime question is: why did Blauvelt reach out into the wild blue yonder and pick on a non-entity Jack Kennedy to "bring fame" to his book? Was the supposedly "senile" old man gifted with such an amazing "second-sight" that he knew Jack Kennedy was to be a "famous" President of the United States? . . . did he see that in tea leaves? . . . or a crystal ball?

But now let's do a little more probing. The "Washington Post" article charged that the only item that ever linked Kennedy and Durie was an "old clipping from a Miami gossip column reporting Miss Malcolm and young Jack Kennedy had been seen in a restaurant right after World War II."

Which Miami paper? Which gossip column? Nobody ever identified either — nobody ever saw the "old clipping."

Another point: The Blauvelt Family Association is composed of not less than 500 to 1,000 members. James Blauvelt, of Washington, D. C., is the President. On September 18, the N. Y. Times stated: "Members of the far-flung family do not believe Mr. (Louis) Blauvelt was in error. James Blauvelt told a member of the N. Y. Times Washington Bureau that some of the family believed Howard Ira Durie (a title researcher of Woodcliff Lake, N. J.) supplied the item for the genealogy which he now denies and that he had been 'paid off' by the Kennedys."

Inasmuch as confirmation that the Durie Malcolm biography (including the marriage of JFK) was compiled and inserted in 1943 came from the same source, the "paid off" sounds quite logical.

In addition, here are some more irreconcilable statements (outright falsehood or just distortions of facts?) in that "Washington Post" article . . . 1) "Only 300 copies of the book were printed" — a fantastic statement, inasmuch as there are far more than 500 members in the Blauvelt "Family" and most of them subscribed for copies in advance . . . 2) "The book is available in many book stores in various parts of the country" — hundreds (at least) of CEG members throughout the nation couldn't find ONE bookstore that carries it . . . 3) "It is available at the U. S. Library of Congress for anybody who wishes to see it." — in our August (1962) "News-Bulletin", No. 92, we revealed how the book was made UNAVAILABLE in that source.

Apropos of the statement that only 300 copies of the book were

printed, a question to Ye Editors of the Washington Post: prithee, sirs, kindly tell me what tricks of legerdemain did the publishers employ that enabled them to fill more than 500 pre-print subscriptions — and yet provide unlimited stocks to all the bookstores which you stated had them in abundance?

Verily, my masters, I cannot but believe that the “journalist” who concocted your (*bedtime*) story — and I do mean STORY — was none other than old Baron Munchausen himself . . . but, thanks, just the same, all this “smoke” will soon reveal the “fire.”

Now, here is the most amazing — *and ironic* — feature about that entire “Washington Post” story: the first paragraph *piously* chides the American Press, as follows:

“Ever since the heyday of yellow journalism, the sense of responsibility of the American press has been more censured than praised. For political or for readers’ pennies, sensation has often triumphed over reliability.”

LOOK WHO’S TALKING !!! THE WASHINGTON POST !!!  
. . . A sheet which, in Washington, is commonly referred to as “*The Washington Daily Worker*” . . . or “PRAVDA” . . . or “IZVESTIA”  
. . . *truly a case of the kettle calling the Pot black!*

This sheet resorted to vitriolic verbiage in castigating the “*irresponsibility*” of the so-called “*hate*” and “*Racist*” publications that published the story of the JFK-Durie Malcolm marriage, yet the “*Washington Post*”, and all the other publications that printed that article, are clearly guilty of a crass violation of one of journalism’s most sacred ethics, to wit: *there was absolutely no verification of information before the publication of the names of those the article charged with the distribution of the story* . . . No ethical journalist will print such a highly controversial story without first verifying all the facts! . . . Failure to do so lays a perfect foundation for a libel suit.

Here is another very significant point: The N. Y. Herald-Tribune published the “Washington Post” article verbatim — then they added the following:

“Mr. William K. Smith (Blauvelt’s son-in-law) told the Associated Press that there is only one notation concerning a Kennedy marriage in the records of Louis Blauvelt. Mr. Smith said that this is a typed index card carrying substantially the same entry as the book itself. Smith said that on the bottom of the index card is a date referring to a letter received by the author which contained information concerning the marriage entry. However, he added there is no letter in the files which relates to the index card.”



WHY HAS THAT LETTER SO CONVENIENTLY DISAPPEARED FROM THE FILES ? ? ?

---

THE WHITE HOUSE STOCK REPLY

---

To all citizens who write the White House for information about the marriage, the following stock reply goes out: "*The President has been married only once — to his wife Jacqueline Kennedy.*"

Earlier, I said that there is far more significance to that "*reply*" than appears on the surface — and I promised to clarify that significance. Well, here it is:

That White House reply (*from an anonymous bureaucrat, NOT from Kennedy*) is very misleading to one who is not familiar with the Roman Catholic viewpoint concerning marriage. That viewpoint is the basis for that . . . "*The President has been married only once.*" Here is the why of it:

John Kennedy is a (devout?) Roman Catholic; the Roman Catholic Church does not recognize any marriage of a communicant (*a Catholic*) unless the ceremony is performed by a member (*priest*) of the Roman Catholic hierarchy. Any Roman Catholic who is a principal in a civil marriage ceremony, or in a marriage ceremony that is performed by a representative of another Denomination, is not regarded by the Roman Catholic Church as being married, but as living in sin. Thus, *using that Church viewpoint as a cloak*, Kennedy's spokesmen can continue to parrot that weasel-worded . . . "*The President has been MARRIED only once — to his wife Jacqueline Kennedy.*"

However, that leaves unanswered the one paramount question; namely: prior to his marriage to the former Jacqueline Beauvoir, was John F. Kennedy ever a principal in a civil marriage ceremony — or in a marriage ceremony that was performed by a representative of a denomination other than Roman Catholic?

The "*Washington Post*" article "*excuses*" Kennedy's personal silence by stating that he is in the acute situation in which he is "*damned if he denies the marriage, and damned if he admits it.*" Why? If the truthful answer is "NO," he surely could not be "*damned*" by so stating.

In view of all that, one does not have to be a super-brain to arrive at the only logical conclusion—*that Jack Kennedy WAS married to Durie Malcolm!* However, if he still insists he was not, he can easily end the entire matter by signing an affidavit which would easily answer the whole \$64,000 question. If the answer is "NO," he should have no hesitancy in signing such an affidavit — *under oath!*

Since the matter involves both the veracity and the moral fiber of the head of the Executive Branch of the Federal Government, citizens of this Republic are entitled to a *signed* answer, *under oath* — for the very same reason that they are entitled to know the identities of military muzzlers and military censors — for the very same reason that they are entitled to know the identities of the men in Washington who are working day and night to surrender our nation into the enslavement of a Communist One-World (UN) Government!

---

Note.—The following is, to all intents and purposes, a photostatic copy of the "UNITED NATIONS ORDER NO. 1," which I brought back into public focus in one of our recent "News-Bulletins." I am printing the entire "ORDER" in this issue for two vital reasons: . . . 1) When I published the facts about the "UN Invasions," based on that "UN Order No. 1," it stunned all of our readers. Some had hazy recollections of the "invasions"—others had vague recollections that there was such an "Order"—but a GREAT many others just could not believe that such a thing (the Invasions) could have happened here, or that the UN could have had the brazen audacity to issue such an "ORDER" . . . 2) The verbiage in that order MUST remove all doubt about the RANK TREASON contemplated in Kennedy's "DISARMAMENT" PLOT !!!

---

## THE "ORDER"

---

FOR CPX USE ONLY — OPERATION SIXAR  
UNITED NATIONS FORCES  
MILITARY GOVERNMENT OF AGRESSI  
PROCLAMATION NUMBER I

TO THE PEOPLE OF AGRESSI:

WHEREAS, in prosecuting the war against AGRESSI, it has become necessary for the armed forces of the UNITED NATIONS under my command, to occupy this town, adjacent areas and other portions of AGRESSI, and

WHEREAS, it is the policy of the armed forces of the UNITED NATIONS not to make war upon the civilian inhabitants of the occupied territory but

to protect them in the peaceful exercise of their legitimate pursuits, insofar as the exigencies of war and their own behavior will permit, and

WHEREAS, in order to preserve law and order and to provide for the safety and welfare both of the forces under my command and of yourselves, it is necessary to establish **MILITARY GOVERNMENT** in the occupied territory.

NOW, THEREFORE, I, JONES SMITHMAN, General, United States Army Commanding United States Forces Oceania, and Military Governor of Agressi, by virtue of the authority vested in me by the United Nations Security Council, do hereby proclaim as follows:

I: All powers of government and jurisdiction in the occupied territory and over the inhabitants thereof, and final administrative responsibility are vested in me as Commanding General of the Forces of Occupation and as Military Governor the **UNITED NATIONS FORCES MILITARY GOVERNMENT OF AGRESSI** is hereby established to exercise these powers under my direction.

II: All persons in the occupied territory will obey promptly all orders given by me or under my authority and must not commit any act hostile to the forces under my command or helpful to the Agressi forces; must not commit acts of violence or acts which may disturb public order in any way.

III: Your existing customs, religious beliefs and property rights will be fully respected and your existing laws will remain in force and effect except insofar as it may be necessary for me in the exercise of my powers and duties to change them by proclamation or order issued by me or under my direction.

IV: All Agressi civil and criminal courts and all universities, schools and educational establishments will be closed until further order of United Nations Forces Military Government of Agressi.

V: All administrative and police officials of towns, cities, counties and states and all other government and municipal functionaries and employees, and all officers and employees of state, municipal or other public services, except such officials and political leaders as are removed by me, or under my direction, are required to continue in the performance of their duties subject to my direction or the direction of such of the officers of the armed forces of the United Nations as may be deputed for the purpose.

VI: So long as you remain peaceable and comply with the orders of the forces of occupation, you will be subject to no greater interference than is made necessary by war conditions, and may go about your normal vocations without fear.

VII: Further proclamations, orders and regulations will be issued by me or under my authority from time to time. They will state what is further re-

quired of you, and what you are forbidden to do, and will be displayed in court houses, city halls, or other public places.

VIII: In case of conflict or ambiguity between the English text of this proclamation or any other proclamation order, or regulation issued under my authority, and any translation thereof, the English text is to prevail.

**JONES SMITHMAN**  
General, United States Army  
Commanding United Nations Forces Oceania  
**MILITARY GOVERNOR OF AGRESSI**

---

NOW do you know why Kennedy MUST be impeached — and tried for treason? . . . Why all the tools and stooges of the CFR, and the CFR itself, must be unmasked and destroyed? . . . Why we must get the U. S. out of the UN — and the UN out of the U. S.?

Send copies of this "News-Bulletin" to your Representative and Senators — with a PERSONAL letter couched in no uncertain words!

---

## VITAL NEWS FOR ALL CALIFORNIA VOTERS

---

Just as this issue was going to press, I received a copy of a 32-page booklet by Karl Prussion, entitled: "CALIFORNIA DYNASTY of COMMUNISM." It contains the most startling unmaskings of California's Attorney General Mosk, of ALAN CRANSTON and of Governor Pat Brown, that I have ever read. It is a MUST reading for every California voter — it will guide you how to prevent the transformation of California into another CUBA. You can get a copy by sending 50 cents to "HEADS-UP" (or Karl Prussion), P. O. Box 913, Los Altos, California.

---

I will now conclude this issue with a most significant — and pleasing — bit of news:

We have just received word that, as of this month, TEXACO has discontinued its sponsorship of CHET HUNTLEY!

And that should be the answer for all loyal Americans who

despairingly cry out "*what can I, one person, do?*" For years, Texaco continued to shield and protect Huntley, but, obviously they could no longer stand the strain of canceled credit-acrds, loss of business—and a constant flow of harsh criticisms. That's what you and you and you (one person) accomplished with our little "*RED STARS*" Tract. That's what you can accomplish with ALL Sponsors who employ Reds in their TV Shows . . . *that's what you (one person) can do by persisting with your Representatives and Senators to get the U. S. out of the UN and the UN out of the U. S. . . . ditto to launch impeachment proceedings to "smoke out" ALL the traitors in Government!*

True, you are "one person" — but there are MILLIONS of loyal American "one persons" like you, who, *combined*, can and will yet save our glorious Country.

**CPA BOOK PUBLISHER**

P. O. Box 596, Boring, OR 97009

*Email: [cpabooks@hotmail.com](mailto:cpabooks@hotmail.com)*